

## SMALL TOWN & RURAL MULTIMODAL NETWORKS

CREATING GREAT WALKING AND BICYCLING NETWORKS OUTSIDE OF URBAN AREAS



## UNIQUE NEEDS DEMAND AND OPPORTUNITIES IN SMALL COMMUNITIES



## **RURAL NEEDS RURAL PLACES**



LARGE RURAL CORE Rural towns of 10,000 to 50,000, often regional centers.

# RURAL NEEDS



### LONGER NON-LOCAL TRIP DISTANCES

Rural trip distances have been increasing.



### **HEALTH DISPARITIES**

Rural areas have higher rates of physical inactivity and chronic disease than urbanized areas.



### **HIGHER CRASH RATES**

While only 17% of the population lives in rural areas, 58% of all fatal crashes and 60% of traffic fatalities were recorded in rural regions.



### **INCOME DISPARITIES**

Urban households earn 32% more in yearly income than rural households.

# RURAL NEEDS OPPORTUNITIES





**ALLENDALE, SC** *Population 3,328* 

**PALMER, AK** *Population 6,250* 

**RUSHFORD, MN** *Population 2,102* 



### **UKIAH, CA** *Population 15,956*

## FLEXIBILITY IN ROADWAY EMERGING FLEXIBILITY IN ROADWAY DESIGN



## THE CONTEXT **2010 FHWA POLICY STATEMENT**

"Walking and bicycling foster safer, more livable, family-friendly communities; promote physical activity and health; and reduce vehicle emissions and fuel use. "

"... DOT encourages transportation agencies to go beyond the minimum requirements, and proactively provide convenient, safe, and context-sensitive facilities that foster increased use by bicyclists and pedestrians of all ages and abilities..."

United States Department of Transportation Policy Statement on Bicycle and Pedestrian Accommodation Regulations and Recommendations

### Signed on March 11, 2010 and announced March 15, 2010

### Purpose

The United States Department of Transportation (DOT) is providing this Policy Statement to reflect the Department's support for the development of fully integrated active transportation networks. The establishment of well-connected walking and bicycling networks is an important component for livable communities, and their design should be a part of Federal-aid project developments. Walking and bicycling foster safer, more livable, family-friendly communities; promote physical activity and health; and reduce vehicle emissions and fuel use. Legislation and regulations exist that require inclusion of bicycle and pedestrian policies and projects into transportation plans and project development. Accordingly, transportation agencies should plan, fund, and implement improvements to their walking and bicycling networks, including linkages to transit. In addition, DOT encourages transportation agencies to go beyond the minimum requirements, and proactively provide convenient, safe, and context-sensitive facilities that foster increased use by bicyclists and pedestrians of all ages and abilities, and utilize universal design characteristics when appropriate. Transportation programs and facilities should accommodate people of all ages and abilities, including people too young to drive, people who cannot drive, and people who choose not to drive.

### **Policy Statement**

The DOT policy is to incorporate safe and convenient walking and bicycling facilities into transportation projects. Every transportation agency, including DOT, has the responsibility to improve conditions and opportunities for walking and bicycling and to integrate walking and bicycling into their transportation systems. Because of the numerous individual and community benefits that walking and bicycling provide — including health, safety, environmental, transportation, and quality of life - transportation agencies are encouraged to go beyond minimum standards to provide safe and convenient facilities for these modes

### Authority

This policy is based on various sections in the United States Code (U.S.C.) and the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) in Title 23-Highways, Title 49-Transportation, and Title 42-The Public Health and Welfare. These sections, provided in the Appendix, describe how bicyclists and pedestrians of all abilities should be involved throughout the planning process, should not be adversely affected by other transportation projects, and should be able to track annual obligations and expenditures on nonmotorized transportation facilities

### **Recommended Actions**

The DOT encourages States, local governments, professional associations, community organizations, public transportation agencies, and other government agencies, to adopt similar policy statements on bicycle and pedestrian accommodation as an indication of their commitment to accommodating bicyclists and pedestrians as an integral element of the transportation system. In support of this commitment, transportation agencies and local communities should go beyond minimum design standards and requirements to create safe, attractive, sustainable, accessible, and convenient bicycling and walking networks. Such actions should include:

Considering walking and bicycling as equals with other transportation modes: The primary goal of a transportation system is to safely and efficiently move people and goods. Walking and bicycling are efficient transportation modes for mos

FHWA. United States Department of Transportation Policy Statement on Bicycle and Pedestrian Accommodation Regulations and Recommendations. 2010.

## THE CONTEXT **2010 FHWA POLICY STATEMENT**

"Walking and bicycling foster safer, more livable, family-friendly communities; promote physical activity and health; and reduce vehicle emissions and fuel use. "

"... DOT encourages transportation agencies to go beyond the minimum requirements, and proactively provide convenient, safe, and context-sensitive facilities that foster increased use by bicyclists and pedestrians of all ages and abilities..."

United States Department of Transportation Policy Statement on Bicycle and Pedestrian Accommodation Regulations and Recommendations

### Signed on March 11, 2010 and announced March 15, 2010

### Purpose

The United States Department of Transportation (DOT) is providing this Policy Statement to reflect the Department's support for the development of fully integrated active transportation networks. The establishment of well-connected walking and bicycling networks is an important component for livable communities, and their design should be a part of Federal-aid project developments. Walking and bicycling foster safer, more livable, family-friendly communities; promote physical activity and health; and reduce vehicle emissions and fuel use. Legislation and regulations exist that require inclusion of bicycle and pedestrian policies and projects into transportation plans and project development. Accordingly, transportation agencies should plan, fund, and implement improvements to their walking and bicycling networks, including linkages to transit. In addition, DOT encourages transportation agencies to go beyond the minimum requirements, and proactively provide convenient, safe, and context-sensitive facilities that foster increased use by bicyclists and pedestrians of all ages and abilities, and utilize universal design characteristics when appropriate. Transportation programs and facilities should accommodate people of all ages and abilities, including people too young to drive, people who cannot drive, and people who choose not to drive.

### **Policy Statement**

The DOT policy is to incorporate safe and convenient walking and bicycling facilities into transportation projects. Every transportation agency, including DOT, has the responsibility to improve conditions and opportunities for walking and bicycling and to integrate walking and bicycling into their transportation systems. Because of the numerous individual and community benefits that walking and bicycling provide — including health, safety, environmental, transportation, and quality of life - transportation agencies are encouraged to go beyond minimum standards to provide safe and convenient facilities for these modes

### Authority

This policy is based on various sections in the United States Code (U.S.C.) and the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) in Title 23-Highways, Title 49-Transportation, and Title 42-The Public Health and Welfare. These sections, provided in the Appendix, describe how bicyclists and pedestrians of all abilities should be involved throughout the planning process, should not be adversely affected by other transportation projects, and should be able to track annual obligations and expenditures on nonmotorized transportation facilities

### **Recommended Actions**

The DOT encourages States, local governments, professional associations, community organizations, public transportation agencies, and other government agencies, to adopt similar policy statements on bicycle and pedestrian accommodation as an indication of their commitment to accommodating bicyclists and pedestrians as an integral element of the transportation system. In support of this commitment, transportation agencies and local communities should go beyond minimum design standards and requirements to create safe, attractive, sustainable, accessible, and convenient bicycling and walking networks. Such actions should include:

Considering walking and bicycling as equals with other transportation modes: The primary goal of a transportation system is to safely and efficiently move people and goods. Walking and bicycling are efficient transportation modes for mos

FHWA. United States Department of Transportation Policy Statement on Bicycle and Pedestrian Accommodation Regulations and Recommendations. 2010.

# ITE DESIGNING WALKABLE URBAN THOROUGHFARES





## THE CONTEXT **NACTO URBAN BIKEWAY DESIGN GUIDE**





## THE CONTEXT NACTO URBAN STREET DESIGN GUIDE





## THE CONTEXT FHWA DESIGN FLEXIBILITY

FHWA supports "taking a flexible approach to bicycle and pedestrian facility design. ... The National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) Urban Bikeway Design Guide, [the Urban Street Design Guide,] and the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Designing Walkable Urban Thoroughfares guide builds upon the flexibilities provided in the AASHTO guides, which can help communities plan and design safe and convenient facilities for pedestrian and bicyclists. FHWA supports the use of these resources to further develop nonmotorized transportation networks, particularly in urban areas."

|             | USDepartment<br>of Paragoritation<br>Federal Highway<br>Administration<br>SENT BY ELI   |  |
|-------------|---|--|
| Subject:    | GUIDANCE: Bicycle and Pedestrian Facil  | ity Design Flexibility Date: August 20, 2013 |
| From:       | Gloria M. Shepherd Horie TH. J.<br>Associate Administrator for Planning.<br>Environment and Realty<br>Walter C. (Butch) Waidelich. Jr.<br>Associate Administrator for Infrastructure<br>Jeffrey A. Lindley <sup>194</sup> | Mill In Reply Refer To:<br>HEPH-10           |
| To::<br>cc: | Associate Administrator for Operations<br>Tony T. Furst<br>Associate Administrator for Safety<br>Division Administrators<br>Directors of Field Services   |  |

This memorandum expresses the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) support for taking a flexible approach to bicycle and pedestrian facility design. The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASITO) bicycle and pedestrian design guides are the primary national resources for planning, designing, and operating bicycle and pedestrian facilities. The National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) *Exban Bidenberg Design Guide* and the Institute of Transportation Engineers (TTE) *Designing Exban Wakable Theoroughtares* guide builds upon the flexibilities provided in the AASITO guides, which can help communities plan and design safe and convenient facilities for pedestrian and bicyclists. FHWA supports the use of these resources to further develop nonmotorized transportation networks, particularly in urban areas.

### AASHTO Guides

AASHTO publishes two guides that address pedestrian and bicycle facilities:

- Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedexterian Facilities, July 2004. (AASHTO Pedestrian Guide) provides guidelines for the planning, design, operation, and maintenance of pedestrian facilities, including signals and signing. The guide recommends methods for accommodating pedestrians, which vary among roadway and facility types, and addresses the effects of land use planning and site design on pedestrian mobility.
- Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities 2012. Fourth Edition (AASHTO Bike Guide) provides detailed planning and design guidelines on how to accommodate bicycle travel and operation in most riding environments. It covers the planning, design, operation.

FHWA. Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility Design Flexibility. 2013.

2 orists. 1 the State ress at can renced e with ban noccss. cycle striam n s. anding <u>Design</u> cs<sup>2</sup> ores and ship. s. project fulfill <u>H</u> beyond ve ad CTO

## THE CONTEXT FHWA DESIGN FLEXIBILITY

FHWA supports "taking a flexible approach to bicycle and pedestrian facility design. ... The National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) Urban Bikeway Design Guide, [the Urban Street Design Guide,] and the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Designing Walkable Urban Thoroughfares guide builds upon the flexibilities provided in the AASHTO guides, which can help communities plan and design safe and convenient facilities for pedestrian and bicyclists. FHWA supports the use of these resources to further develop nonmotorized transportation networks, particularly in urban areas."

|          | Construction<br>Prederal Highway<br>Administration ESENT BY ELECTRONIC MAIL   | m |
|----------|---|---|
| Subject: | GUIDANCE: Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility Design Flexibility Date: August 20, 2013  |   |
| From:    | Gloria M. Shepherd Horie M. Stepherd<br>Associate Administrator for Planning.<br>Environment and Realty<br>Walter C. (Butch) Waidelich. Jr. July July<br>Associate Administrator for Infrastructure |   |
|          | Jeffrey A. Lindley at Associate Administrator for Operations<br>Tony T. Furst<br>Associate Administrator for Safety   |   |
| To:      | Division Administrators   |   |
| cc:      | Directors of Field Services   |   |

This memorandum expresses the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) support for taking a flexible approach to bicycle and pedestrian facility design. The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASITO) bicycle and pedestrian design guides are the primary national resources for planning, designing, and operating bicycle and pedestrian facilities. The National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) *Exban Bidenberg Design Guide* and the Institute of Transportation Engineers (TTE) *Designing Usrban Wakable Theoroughtares* guide builds upon the flexibilities provided in the AASITO guides, which can help communities plan and design safe and convenient facilities for pedestrian and bicyclists. FTIWA supports the use of these resources to further develop nonmotorized transportation networks, particularly in urban areas.

### AASHTO Guides

AASHTO publishes two guides that address pedestrian and bicycle facilities:

- Guide for the Planning, Design, and Operation of Pedexterian Facilities, July 2004. (AASHTO Pedestrian Guide) provides guidelines for the planning, design, operation, and maintenance of pedestrian facilities, including signals and signing. The guide recommends methods for accommodating pedestrians, which vary among roadway and facility types, and addresses the effects of land use planning and site design on pedestrian mobility.
- Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities 2012. Fourth Edition (AASHTO Bike Guide) provides detailed planning and design guidelines on how to accommodate bicycle travel and operation in most riding environments. It covers the planning, design, operation,

FHWA. Bicycle and Pedestrian Facility Design Flexibility. 2013.

2 orists. 1 the State ress at can renced e with ban noccss. cycle striam n s. anding <u>Design</u> cs<sup>2</sup> ores and ship. s. project fulfill <u>H</u> beyond ve ad CTO





THE GUIDE TO SMALL TOWN & RURAL MULTIMODAL NETWORKS



## THE GUIDE **FUNDING**

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Minnesota – Center for Prevention

Federal Highway Administration Cooperative Agreement

**NOTICE: the following project** is a work in progress. The contents are under review and revision by project partners and are subject to change.







# THE GUIDE **PROJECT GOALS**

This document brings together ideas and visualizations of applying the latest multimodal facility design to a rural and small town context. It provides case study examples for all treatments and touches on critical topics related to working in rural communities and building rural transportation networks.

- » **Provide a bridge** between existing guidance on bicycle and pedestrian design and rural practice.
- » Encourage innovation in development of safe and appealing networks for bicycling and walking in small towns and rural areas.
- » Provide examples of peer
   communities and project
   implementation that is appropriate
   for rural communities.



## FRE GUIDE FOCUS ON FACILITIES TO COMPLETE NETWORKS

Networks are interconnected pedestrian and/or bicycle transportation facilities that allow people of all ages and abilities to safely and conveniently get where they want to go.

### Facility Categories:

- » Mixed Traffic
- » Visually Separated
- » Physically Separated



Motor Vehicle Speed



### Speed and Volume

Most appropriate on streets with low to moderate volumes and moderate speed motor vehicles. ""



### Network

Applies to constrained connections between built up areas.



### Land Use

For use outside, between and within built up areas with bicycle and pedestrian demand and limited available paved roadway surface.



### Visual

Supports rural visual aesthetics through reduced paved surface requirements and minimal pavement marking.

### Natural

Supports the natural environment through reduced paved surface requirements.





# THE GUIDE **MIXED TRAFFIC**

- » Yield Roadway
- » Bicycle Boulevard
- » Advisory Shoulder





## Yield Roadway

A yield roadway is designed to serve pedestrians, bicyclists, and motor vehicle traffic in the same slow speed travel area. Yield roadways serve bidirectional motor vehicle traffic without lane markings in the roadway travel area.

· Less costly to build and/or maintain

BENEFITS

- than fully paved cross sections. Connects local residential areas to
- Reduces impermeable surface area and minimizes stormwater runoff.

destinations on the network.

- Maintains aesthetic preferences of narrow roads and uncurbed road edges.
- Can support a larger tree canopy when located within wide unpaved roadside areas.
- · Low maintenance needs over time.



### APPLICATION

### Speed and Volume

Appropriate on roads with very-low volumes<sup>i</sup> and low speed.



### Network

Local residential roadways. Not for through motor vehicle travel.



### Land Use

Within built up areas, particularly near residential land uses where most traffic is familiar with prevailing road conditions.



### • Encourages slow travel speed when narrower than 20 ft (6.0 m).

• Supports on-street or shoulder parking for property access.

### Visual

Highly supportive of rural visual character by providing a narrow paved surface area.



### Natural

Highly supportive of natural impacts due to narrow roadway requirements.



MANZANITA, OR POPULATION 3,000 (Seasonal)



FACILITIES

C

TR/

MIXED

CHAPTER 2

### **Route Markings**

Markings identify proper positioning within the roadway, and alert all users to bicyclist presence.

Route Signs

### **Bicycle Priority**

Traffic control at minor intersections favor through travel by bicyclists.

### Sidewalk

Separated pedestrian accomodations may be necessary as roadway speeds and volumes increase.

**Traffic Calming** 

Horizontal and vertical deflection

manages motorist speeds.

## Bicycle Boulevard

A bicycle boulevard is a low-stress shared roadway bicycle facility, designed to offer priority for bicyclists operating within a roadway shared with motor vehicle traffic.

### Shared Roadway

similar speeds.

### BENEFITS

- Increases comfort for people walking and biking by reducing motor vehicle operating speeds and volumes, if diversion is included.
- Connects local residential roads to commercial corridors and community services such as schools.
- Improves conditions for pedestrians when implemented with sidewalks and enhanced pedestrian crossings.





### APPLICATION

### Speed and Volume

Appropriate on local streets with low volumes and low speed. Speed and volume management may be necessary to create desired operating conditions.



### Network

Local residential roadways. Not for through motor vehicle travel.



Bicyclists and motorists share the same roadway space and operate at

### Land Use

For use inside of built up areas to connect biking and walking routes in small town street networks.



• May reduce the incidence of serious injuries through reduced travel speeds.

· Improves the quality of life for residents through calmer traffic and safer crossings.



### Natural

May require additional paved surface to provide sidewalk space for pedestrians.



OCEAN CITY, NJ POPULATION 11,400 .....

\*

2265





## Advisory Shoulders

Advisory shoulders create usable shoulders for bicyclists and occasional pedestrians on a roadway that is otherwise too narrow to accommodate one. The shoulder is delineated by pavement marking and optional pavement color. Motorists may only enter the shoulder when no bicyclists or pedestrians are present and must overtake these users with caution due to potential oncoming traffic.

BENEFITS

Prioritizes shared space for bicyclists and occasional pedestrian travel

**Advisory Shoulder** 

- · Provides a delineated but nonexclusive space available for walking and biking on a roadway otherwise too narrow for dedicated shoulders.
- May reduce some types of crashes due to reduced motor vehicle travel speeds.
- Minimizes potential impacts to visual or natural resources through efficient use of existing space.
- context.



share a center lane, and may need to encroach into the advisory shoulder during meeting events.

APPLICATION

### Speed and Volume

Most appropriate on streets with low to moderate volumes and moderate speed motor vehicles. <sup>iii</sup>



### Network

Applies to constrained connections between built up areas.



### Land Use

For use outside, between and within built up areas with bicycle and pedestrian demand and limited available paved roadway surface.



### Increases predictability and clarifies desired lateral positioning between people bicycling or walking and people driving in a narrow roadway.

 Functions well within a rural and small town traffic and land use

• May function as an interim measure where plans include shoulder widening in the future.

### Visual

Supports rural visual aesthetics through reduced paved surface requirements and minim pavement marking.



### Natural

Supports the natural environment through reduced paved surface requirements.

|        | LOW | MODERATE |   | HIGH |   |
|--------|-----|----------|---|------|---|
| Impact | 0   | 0        | 0 | 0    | 0 |

HANOVER, NH POPULATION 11,000

93



## **BLOOMINGTON, IN**

DEAD

177

description for your map



EDINA, MN POPULATION 49,300 P



# THE GUIDE VISUALLY SEPARATED

- » Shoulders
- » Bike Lanes
- » Pedestrian Lanes





## Paved Shoulder

Paved shoulders on the edge of roadways can be enhanced to serve as a functional space for bicyclists and pedestrians to travel in the absence of other facilities with more separation.

### BENEFITS

 Improves bicyclist experiences on roadways with higher speeds or traffic volumes.

direction as the adjacent lane.

- Provides a stable surface off the roadway for pedestrians and bicyclists to use when sidewalks are not provided.
- Reduces pedestrian "walking along roadway" crashes.
- crashes.



### Can reduce "bicyclist struck from behind" crashes, which represent a significant portion of rural road

• Provides advantages for all roadway users, by providing space for bicyclists and pedestrians, as well as a benefit to drivers of motor vehicles.

### APPLICATION

### Speed and Volume

Appropriate on roads with moderate to high volumes and speeds and on roadways with a large amount of truck traffic. May function on multi-lane roads with heavy traffic, but fails to provide a low-stress experience in this condition.



### Network

Serves long-distance and regional travel.



### Land Use

Appropriate outside and between built up areas. Near school zones and transit locations, and where there is expected pedestrian and bicycle activity. Walkable shoulders should be provided along both sides of county roads and highways routinely used by pedestrians.



### Visual

Enhancements with increased levels of striping and signs may interfere with the lowclutter character of a rural environment.



### Natural

Requires a wider roadway to provide an accessible shoulder space.



**CAPAY, CA** *POPULATION 133* 





### On-Street Bike Lane

On-street bike lanes designate an exclusive space for bicyclists through the use of pavement markings and optional signage. A bike lane is located directly adjacent to motor vehicle travel lanes and follows the same direction as motor vehicle traffic.

### BENEFITS

- Provides additional separation distance between the sidewalk and motor vehicle travel area, if a sidewalk is present.
- Connects and completes bikeway networks through built up areas.
- Provides a designated space on the roadway suitable for many adult riders within built up areas of small communities.
- volume streets.

VISUALLY SEPARATED FACILITIES

\_

CHAPTER 3



• Can support school access by bicycle when configured as a wide bike lane on lower-speed, lower-

• Provides additional visual cues to drivers that they should expect bicyclists on the roadway. This can be particularly useful when transitioning to a built up area from a highway context.

### APPLICATION

### Speed and Volume

Appropriate on streets with moderate volumes and moderate speed. May function on multi-lane streets with heavy traffic, but fails to provide a low-stress experience in this condition, which would appeal to larger numbers of bicyclists.



## TO RURAL MULTIMODAL NETWORKS

### Network

Serves moderate distance trips connecting local bikeway routes to regional corridors.



### Land Use

For use inside, or between, built up areas where increased pedestrian and/or bicycle activity is present or expected.



### Visual

Reflects a more urban visual atmosphere than an unmarked shoulder



### Natural

Requires a wider roadway to provide an accessible shoulder space.



47

LYNDONVILLE, VT POPULATION 1,200 030





## Pedestrian Lane

A pedestrian lane is a low-cost alternative to a separated path or sidewalk that is only appropriate on roads with low to moderate speeds and volumes. The lane provides a space for pedestrians to walk that is separated visually from motor vehicle traffic by pavement marking.

### BENEFITS

- Provides a stable surface off of the roadway for pedestrians to use when sidewalks or sidepaths are deemed impractical or otherwise not desired.
- Reduces 'walking along roadway' crashes.
- · Can provide visual indication of prioritized connection to community amenity.



### APPLICATION

### Speed and Volume

Appropriate on streets with low-moderate volumes and low-moderate speed.





Serves as a pedestrian connection on local and collector routes.



### Enhanced Lane Markings

Double white lines prohibit

· Maintains rural character, without the built curb and gutter infrastructure of a sidewalk or other facility.

### Land Use

For use inside of built up areas to provide a dedicated space for pedestrians.



Visual



Support rural visual aesthetics when compared to a sidewalk.



### Natural

Requires minimal roadside infrastructure and no impacts to stormwater management.

|        | LOW | MODERATE |   |   | HIGH |
|--------|-----|----------|---|---|------|
| Impact | 0   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0    |

DUCK, NC POPULATION 370 Photo by ITRE Bike and Ped via Flickr (CC By 2.0) ALL LUN

A RUSTOR PROVIDENCE



# THE GUIDE **PHYSICALLY SEPARATED**

- » Shared Use Path
- » Sidepath
- » Sidewalks
- » Separated Bike Lanes





### Shared Use Path

A shared use path provides a travel area separate from motorized traffic for bicyclists, pedestrians, skaters, wheelchair users, joggers, and other users. Shared use paths can provide a low stress experience for a variety users using the network for transportation or recreation.

### BENEFITS

- Provides a dedicated facility for users
   Supports tourism through of all ages and abilities.
- Provides, in some cases, a short-cut between cities or neighborhoods.
- Provides, in some cases, access to areas that are otherwise served only by limited-access roadways.

FACILITIES

SEPARATED

PHYSICALLY

—

CHAPTER 4



convenient access to natural areas or as an enjoyable recreational opportunity itself.

### APPLICATION

### Traffic

Paths operating in independent corridors are fully separated from traffic. Facility provision is based on opportunity and connectivity rather than roadway context. In some cases an independent corridor may offer similar connectivity and access to destinations as a nearby roadway.

### Network

Serves connections independently of the street network. May function as a network alternative to limited access freeways.



### Land Use

Generally appropriate outside of built-up areas, although may function well in water or utility corridors that pass through developed population centers. May also function for short connections such as between cul-de-sacs or disconnected road networks.



### Visual

Paths have a small footprint and can display a distinctly rural character.



### Natural

The narrow footprint of a shared use path can respond sensitively to natural features or environments.

|        | LOW | Ν | IODERAT | E | HIGH |
|--------|-----|---|---------|---|------|
| Impact | 0   | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0    |

BENTONVILLE, AR POPULATION 40,000 5





## Sidepath

A sidepath is a bidirectional shared use path located immediately adjacent and parallel to a roadway. Sidepaths can offer a high-quality experience for users of all ages and abilities as compared to on-roadway facilities in heavy traffic environments, allow for reduced roadway crossing distances, and maintain rural and small town community character.

Sidepath -

Sidepaths serve bidirectional pedestrian and bicyclist travel.

### BENEFITS

- Completes networks where high speed roads provide the only corridors available.
- Fills gaps in networks of low-stress local routes such as shared use paths and bicycle boulevards.
- Provides a more appropriate facility for users of all ages and abilities than shoulders or mixed traffic facilities on roads with moderate or high traffic intensity.<sup>1</sup>
- Encourages bicycling and walking in areas where high-volume and highspeed motor vehicle traffic would otherwise discourage it. "





### APPLICATION

### Speed and Volume

For use on roads with high volumes, and moderate to high speed motor vehicle traffic.



### .....

### Network

For use on arterial links on the regional or local biking and walking network



### Land Use

For use between and within built-up areas where a high degree of separation and comfort is expected.



### .....

### Visual

Maintains rural character when paired with a wide landscaped roadway separation and reduced paved shoulder width.



 Very supportive of rural character when combined with native vegetation to visually and

physically separate the sidepath from the roadway.

Maintains rural character through

reduced paved roadway width

facility. 🏾

compared to a visually separated

### Natural

Requires a wide roadside environment to provide for separation and pathway area outside of the adjacent roadway.

|        | LOW | N | IODERAT | E | HIGH |
|--------|-----|---|---------|---|------|
| Impact | 0   | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0    |

## SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, CA **POPULATION 20,100** Photo by Tahoe Regional Planning Associaion (TRPA)





## Sidewalk

Sidewalks provide dedicated space intended for use by pedestrians that is safe, comfortable, and accessible to all. Sidewalks are physically separated from the roadway by a curb or unpaved buffer space.

### BENEFITS

- Provides a dedicated place within the public right-of-way for pedestrians to safely travel and reduces pedestrian collisions in rural areas.
- Provides a safe place for people to walk and encourages people to use sidewalk facilities.



· Reduces "walking along roadway" crashes.

• May notably increase levels of walking in areas with high traffic speeds and/or volumes.

### APPLICATION

### Speed and Volume

Sidewalks are recommended on all but the most low-speed and low-volume roadways.



### Network

Sidewalks are appropriate on all types of roadways where pedestrian activity is likely.



### Land Use

Appropriate inside of built-up areas and population centers. May serve short distance travel between built up areas. Along or near highways in rural areas near pedestrian-generating development, such as residential development, schools, and businesses (AASHTO Green Book, 2004, pp. 4-56).



### Visual

Sidewalks may not support a rural visual character when configured with curb and gutter and no landscaped separation.



### Natural

Requires a moderate-width roadside environment to provide for separation and sidewalk area outside of the adjacent roadway.

|        | LOW | N | IODERAT | E | HIGH |
|--------|-----|---|---------|---|------|
| Impact | 0   | 0 | 0       | 0 | 0    |

DENMARK, SC POPULATION 3,400





## Separated Bike Lane

A separated bike lane is an exclusive facility for bicyclists that is located within or directly adjacent to the roadway and is physically separated from motor vehicle traffic with a vertical element.

### BENEFITS

- · Provides a more comfortable experience on high speed and high volume roadways than on-road shoulders.
- Separated bike lanes offer bicyclists a similar riding experience to sidepaths, but with fewer operational and safety concerns over bidirectional sidepath facilities.
- user conflicts.



# RURAL MULTIMODAL NETWORKS

• Offers an increased level of service over sidepaths in areas with high volumes of pedestrians, when paired with sidewalks.

· Increases the degree of connectivity over a sidepath, when configured as a one-way directional facility on both sides of the street.

• Can reduce the incidence of sidewalk riding and potential

### APPLICATION

### Traffic

For use on roads with high motor vehicle volumes, and moderate to high speed motor vehicle traffic.



### Network

Serves primary connections on major roads through and across communities.



### Land Use

For use inside built-up areas where a moderate to high volume of bicyclists and pedestrians is expected.



### Visual

Reflects a more urban visual atmosphere than a sidepath. Use of a wide landscaped buffer may lessen visual impact concerns.



Natural

Requires a wide roadside environment to provide for separation, sidewalks and bike lane areas.

|        | LOW | MODERATE |   |   | HIGH |
|--------|-----|----------|---|---|------|
| Impact | 0   | 0        | 0 | 0 | 0    |

JACKSON HOLE, WY POPULATION 9,600



22



### DRAFT - SEPTEMBER 2016 - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

### Contrasting Paving Materials

Visually differentiates the shoulder from the roadway and discourages unnecessary encroachment.

### **Yield to Bicyclists**

Motorists must yield to bicyclists and pedestrians if present during meeting events with other motor vehicles.

### Advisory Shoulders

Advisory shoulders create usable shoulders for bicyclists and occasional pedestrians on a roadway that is otherwise too narrow to accommodate one. The shoulder is delineated by pavement marking and optional pavement color. Motorists may only enter the shoulder when no bicyclists or pedestrians are present and must overtake these users with caution due to potential oncoming traffic.

### Two-Way Center Travel Lane

Motorists traveling in both directions share a center lane, and may need to encroach into the advisory shoulder during meeting events.

### Advisory Shoulder

Prioritizes shared space for bicyclists and occasional pedestrian travel.

### BENEFITS

- Provides a delineated but nonexclusive space available for walking and biking on a roadway otherwise too narrow for dedicated shoulders.
- May reduce some types of crashes due to reduced motor vehicle travel speeds.
- Minimizes potential impacts to visual or natural resources through efficient use of existing space.
- Increases predictability and clarifies desired lateral positioning between people bicycling or walking and people driving in a narrow roadway.
- Functions well within a rural and small town traffic and land use context.
- May function as an interim measure where plans include shoulder widening in the future.



### 

### Network

APPLICATION

Applies to constrained connections between hull up areas



### Land Use

For use outside, between and within hull up areas with bicycle and pedestrian, demand and limited available paved roadway surface.



### Visual

Supports rural visual insethetics through reduced paved surface requirements and minima povement marking



### Natural

Supports the natural environment through reduced paved surface requirements.



SMALL TOWN 5 RURAL MULTIMODAL NETWOR

29

### **Speed and Volume**

Most appropriate on streets with low to moderate volumes and moderate speed motor vehicles. <sup>iiii ii</sup>



### Network

Applies to constrained connections between built up areas.



### Land Use

For use outside, between and within built up areas with bicycle and pedestrian demand and limited available paved roadway surface.



### Visual

Supports rural visual aesthetics through reduced paved surface requirements and minimal pavement marking.



### Natural

Supports the natural environment through reduced paved surface requirements.

LOW MODERATE HIGH 0 0 Impact 🔵 Ο 0

**Travel Lane** 

nts.

### R 2016 - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION



### APPLICATION Speed and Volume Most appropriate on streets with low to moderate volumes and moderate speed motor wehicles. \*\*\* PREFERRED POTENTIA 10 20 30 40 50 OTOR VEHICLE OPERATING SPEED ONP

### Network

Applies to constrained connections between built up areas.



### Land Use

For use outside, between and within built up areas with bicycle and pedestrian demand and limited available paved roadway surface.



### Visual

Supports rural visual aesthetics through reduced paved surface requirements and mini pavement marking.



Natural

Supports the natural environment through reduced paved surface requirements.

|        | LOW |   | IODERA! | TE . | +0124 |
|--------|-----|---|---------|------|-------|
| Impact | 0   | 0 | 0       | 0    | 0     |



29

in both directions , and may need to advisory shoulder

redictability s desired lateral between people r walking and people a narrow roadway.

well within a rural and traffic and land use

on as an interim there plans include idening in the future.

### Advisory Shoulder

Roads with advisory shoulders accommodate low to moderate volumes of two-way motor vehicle traffic and provide a prioritized space for bicyclists and pedestrians with little or no widening of the paved roadway surface.

0

Center Two-Way Travel Lane Advisory Shoulder 5 ft (1.8 m) preferred 10:00 18 11 (y.0 - 5.5 m)

A During meeting events between ancoming motor vehicles. motorists may need to enter the advisory shoulder for clear Dassage.



### GEOMETRIC DESIGN

An advisory shoulder is a part of the traveled-way, and it is expected that vehicles will regularly encounter meeting or passing situations where driving in the advisory shoulder is necessary and safe, as illustrated in Figure X.

### ADVISORY SHOULDER

The advisory shoulder space is a visually distinct area on the edge of the roadway, offering a prioritized space for people to bike and walk

- The preferred witht of the advisory shoulder space is 6 ft (2.0 m).
- Use of contrasting paving materials between the advisory shoulder and center travel lane is recommended to differentiate the advisory shoulder from the center two-way travel lane. in order to minimize unnecessary entroachment and reduce regular straddling of the advisory shoulder stricing.

### TWO-WAY CENTER TRAVEL LANE

The two-way center travel tane is created from the remaining paved roadway space after the advisory. shoulder has been accountent for

· Preferred two-way center travel lame width is 13.5 to 16 ft (4.1 - 4.9 m) although may function with withinof 10 to 18 ft (3.0 - 5.5 m), Table X describes the impacts of variants center lane widths on roadway operations



Figure x-x. Motorists travel In the center five-way bravel late. When passing a bicyclist: no lane change is necessary



Figure x-x. When had motor websiles must introvins may need to entron a into the adissory should'r space.



B Practical minimum width

C Preferred minimum

width





Figure s-s. Total toodway width affects the number of road users that can meet and pass simultaneously. Wider roadways allows for more structumous interac-cons, and can support higher valures of motor values.

### MARKINGS

- A broken lane line used to delineate. the advisory shoulder should consist of 3 ft (1.0 m) line segments and 6 ft (2.0 m) gaps.
- · A normal solid white edge line may be marked on the edge of the pavement in addition to the broken advisory: bike lane line.
- In general, no center line should be marked on the roadway. Short sections may be marked with center line pavement markings to separate.

opposing traffic flows at specific locations, such as around curves. over hills, on approaches to at grade crossings, and at bridges

At these locations, the paved roadway surface should be bicycle-accessible shoulders and conventional width travel lanes. See Table X for Sight distance requirements.



10 ft (3.0 m)

13.5 ft (4.5 m)

### **DRAFT - SEPTEMBER 2016 - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION**

### Advisory Shoulder

Table x-x. Interactions between motor vehicles during meeting events by two-way center turn lane width

### TWO-WAY CENTER IMPACT ON ADVISORY SHOULDER ENCROACHMENT DURING MEETING EVENTS

Requires vehicle encroachment into the advisory shoulder space during all motor vehicle meeting events.

Two passenger cars are physically able to meet each other within the center lane at very low speed. In practice, vehicles will encroach into the advisory shoulder.

Permits meeting events of two passenger cars within the center lane at modest speeds without encroaching into the advisory shoulder.

This width is equivalent to two 9 ft (2.7 m) travel lanes and regular encroachment into the advisory shoulder space may not be necessary.

### 18 ft (15.5 m) Center Travel Lane





widened to provide space for payed.

Toble x-x. Minimum Passing Signt Distances for No-Passing Zone Markings. Adopted from MUTCD Tuble 38-1

| BSTH-PERCENTILE<br>OR POSTED OR<br>STATUTORY SPEED<br>LIMIT | MINIMUM<br>PASSING SIGHT<br>DISTANCE |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| 25 mph  | 450 ft (137 m)                       |
| 30 mph  | 500 ft (152 m)                       |
| 35 mph  | 550 ft (167 m)                       |
| 40 mph  | 600 ft (182 m)                       |
| 45 mph  | 700 ft (213 m)                       |
| 50 mph  | 800 ft (243 m)                       |
| 55 mph  | 900 ft (274 m)                       |

### **DRAFT - SEPTEMBER 2016 - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION**

### Advisory Shoulder

SIGNS

0

N

18

Signs may be used to warm road users of the special characteristics of the street. Potential signs for use with advisory shoulders include:

- · As illustrated in Figure X. An unmodified Two-Way Traffic warning sign (W6-3) may be used to clarify two-way operation of the road
- · NO CENTER LINE warning sign (W8-12) may be used to help clarify the Linique striping pattern.
- · NO PARKING ON PAVEMENT (R8-1) may be used to discourage parking within the advisory shoulder.



Figure x-a. The W6-3 two-Wey traffic warning type can clorify undivided two-way operation of the dyttory shoulder configuration





Figure 8-8. At crossings of more respectives and determiny, marking the arriving and construction material of used of the nationary should

### Advisory Shoulder

### INTERSECTIONS

Advisory shoulder designs work best on road segments without frequent stop or signal controlled intersections that require vehicles to stop within the roadway. The designer should strive to maintain the visual definition of the advisory shoulder through all driveways. and street crossings, and provide a conventional shoulder at controlled intersections

· At minor street crossings, a dotted line extensions should be used on both sides of the advisory shoulder to maintain delineation of the advisory shoulder space. (Figure X)

 If contrasting pavement material is used, maintain the material through driveway crossings and minor Intersections



### IMPLEMENTATION

The design described here is not included in the MUTCD. An experimentation process as described in section 1A10 of the MUTCD may be necessary as part of implementation FHWA is accepting experimentations with a similar treatment called dashed bicycle lanes.\*\*



- · Where the road is controlled by a stop sign or traffic signal, the advisory shoulder may be discontinued S0 ft. (15 m) in advance of the intersection.
- At these locations, provide a bicycle accessible paved shoulder outside of the travel lanes or design for operation as a shared roadway

### ACCESSIBILITY

Except where expressly prohibited, pedestrians may legally walk on roadways and shoulders when no separated pedestrian facility is available. Advisory shoulders as described here are not intended for use by pedestrians but can accommodate occasional pedestrian use

### CASE STUDY | ADVISORY BIKE LANES Hanover, New Hampshire



in 2017, Hanover completed a Bioycle and Pedestrian Planning effort: This plan identified Valley Road as a local bicycle connection in the overall network. In 2013 Hanover completed a Safe Routes to School Plan, which introduced the idea of using Advisory Lanes on Valley Road Hanover's Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee (HPBC) advocated to utilize Valley Road as a priot project for advisory lanes. The HBPC surveyed the Valley Road neighbors and built support for a pilot project. While there was some resistance, the neighborhood was generally supportive of the idea. Hanover's Department of Public Works was open to the idea and it was presented to the town select board who approved installation of advisibry lanes on Valley Rd. The lanes were painted on about 400 meters. of Valley road in the summer of 2014. In 2016 an evaluation report was produced with traffic counts and results from a follow up survey. Based on the success of the Valley Road Advisory Lanes, Hanover's currently. evaluating adding Advisory Lanes to another important bicycle and pedestrian connection between schools and neighborhoods

Factors in the success of the advisory limes were the leadership of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee, support from the adjacent neighbors, the willingness to pilot them by the Department of Public Works and inclusion of Valley Road and Advisory Lanes in both the SRTS and Bicycle and Pedestrian Plans.

### DETAILS

### COMMUNITY CONTEXT

Hanover, NH is a town of approximately 11,000 with 8,000 living in the towncenter Hanoverils home to Dartmouth Covege with a student population of ... 6:300, Hanover Is located on the Connecticut River and has a dense built up. area surrounded by small suburban. neighborhoods that transition quickly in a very rutal setting:

### KEY DESIGN ELEMENTS

The advisory bike lane project was boild on a low volume, low speed, residentia road. Implementation included pavement markings and signs.

### ROLE IN THE NETWORK

Valley Road is a local bicycle connection that connects neighborhoods with: schools, the downtown, and the Dartmouth College campus, Sidewards were removed due to root damage and were not replaced because the neighborhood preferred the rural look of streets withoutsidewalks Advisory Lanes utilize existing pavemient to provide space brightized for bicycles and pedestrians. at very low cost

### FUNDING

The Hanover Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan and the Advisory Lane project were both accomplished with funding from the HEPCI HEPC's funding comes from a \$5 local fee on vehicle registration that was: passed by the select board to support aternative transportation and generates approximately \$30,000 annually

### CONTACT INFORMATION

Peter Xulback, PE, Public Works Directory

### **DRAFT - SEPTEMBER 2016 - NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION**

### Advisory Shoulder



### FOOTNOTES

Tries conducted by Transport for condon (TIL) show a statistically significant speed induction effect of 5 Amph to 8.6 mph as a result of removing center free markings on the roadway (TTL 2014)

A foor-year study from Wittshire County (England) showed a 35 percent drop in motor, vehicle crashes along 30 mph ro adways where the center line was removed (Wiltshire County Council 2014).

- Volume criteria 4sted here are based off FHWA guidance on center line provision. The FHWA MUTCD recommends center lines on roadways with motor vehicle traffic valuties above 3,000 AUT, and requires them on streets allove 6,000 ADT (2009, Sec. 38.01)
- Installations in England have functioned will on streets with volumes as righ as 10,000 ADT, and an existing implatution califier leaving 14,000 ADT according to Desartment for Transport estimates (Cardiff Council 2011)
- FHWA MTUCD application of broken line markings is to indicate a permosive conditions (Sec. 3A.05). The MUTCD allows use of "times a similar ratio of the segments to gaps as appropriate for traffic sceeds and need for delitination." (2009, p. 348)
- The FHWA is conducting experimentation with diathed buycle lane reatments in at least 5 locations across the US-Guidance related to experimentation is available from the FHWA online resource Bicycle Facilities and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 2015.



### WORKS CITED

Card If Council, Candiff Gete Design Guide, 2011.

Federal Highway Annuratization, Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2009:

Enderal Highway Administration, Bicycli Facilities and the Monuni on Majoren haffs: Control Devices, 2015. Retrieved from https://www.fina.dot.gov/environment/bicycle\_pedestrianv guidancemusch/deshed\_prive\_Wresis/m

Transport for London (TR.). Centraling Removal Trut. 2014

United States Arcess Board, Proposed Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the

Public Rapht-of-Way 201

Withhree County Council White Line Considering Minings, April 2014.

### PHOTO CREDIT

Page 32. Western Transportation Institute

- Page 33. Western Transportation institute
- Page 34. Western Transportation Institute

Flage 35 Image: Google

# THE GUIDE CRITICAL LINKS

- » Public Lands
- » School Connections
- » Main Streets
- » Bridge Retrofits



# Access to Public Lands





Leelanau County, Michigan SLEEPING BEAR HERITAGE TRAIL

Boulder County, Colorado RURAL ROAD STANDARDS



### Three Forks, Montana HEADWATERS TRAILS SYSTEM

# School Connections





St Charles, Minnesota **POPULATION 3,695** 

Arlee, Montana **POPULATION 602** 



### Mt Shasta, California POPULATION 3,292

## School Connections

The preferred facilities near schools should provide as much separation possible between children and motor vehicles. Facilities such as sidepaths and paved shoulders should also be wider than typical facilities when high volumes of children are expected to be present. Traffic calming measures that reduce motor vehicle operating speeds, as well as the volume of motor vehicles near schools, may also be appropriate.

Before

After

### SEPARATION PREFERRED OVER MIXED TRAFFIC

Even in low speed and low volume conditions, parents and children may prefer walking in an exclusive pedestrian use space.

For more information, refer to the guidance on Pedestrian Lanes





## School Connections

### SIDEWALKS PREFERRED OVER SHOULDERS

Narrow shoulders offer limited comfort for children. It may be possible to construct a sidewalk within the same paved roadway area.

For more information, refer to the guidance on Sidewalks.

### SIDEPATHS PREFERRED ON HEAVY TRAFFIC STREETS

On higher speed and volume streets, even wide shoulders may not offer adequate security for children to be comfortable walking to school. A separated path may be a good facility in these conditions.

For more information, refer to the guidance on Sidepaths.

Before



# Building Main Streets





Imbler, Oregon **POPULATION 305** 

Los Molinos, California **POPULATION 2,037** 

### Willow Creek, California **POPULATION 1,710**

## **Building Main Streets**

The ITE Walkable Urban Thoroughfares Guide 2010 recommends the following design details for walkable and bikable commercial main streets:

- Minimum sidewalk width: 6 feet (1.8 m)
- Furnishing zone: 6 feet (1.8 m)
- Target travel speed: 25 mph (40 km/hr)

- Number of through lanes: 2
- Lane Width: 10-11 feet (3.0 3.3 m)
- Parallel On-Street Parking Width: 7-8 feet (2.1 2.4 m)
- Bike facility: 5-6 feet (1.5 1.8 m) min

The following roadway concepts show opportunities for reconfiguring a main street to support a multimodal context.

### **EXISTING CONDITIONS 2-LANE**

A typical two lane roadway often has wider than necessary lane widths. Wide lanes encourage high-speed travel and should be avoided whenever possible. By narrowing lanes with excess width, the additional space can be reallocated for other uses.



### MEDIAN SAFETY ISLAND

Providing curb extensions and median safety islands can enhance crossing experience for pedestrians.

For more information, refer to the FHWA Proven Safety Countermeasures on medians and pedestrian crossing islands.



### ANGLED PARKING CHICANE

Where through traffic volumes are low, a slow-speed street design may maximize comfort and use by pedestrians and bicyclists.

For more information on creating slow speed conditions, refer to the guidance on **Bicycle Boulevards**.



## Building Main Streets

### **EXISTING CONDITIONS 4-LANE**

Highways are often widened through town centers, providing multiple travel lanes to reduce impediments to through traffic. These configurations may encourage inappropriately high-speed travel and erratic behavior in the vicinity of pedestrian and bicycle activity.

### ROAD DIET

A 4-lane to 3-lane road diet can balance the needs of through travel and local community access.

Refer to FHWA Resurfacing Guide 2015 and FHWA Road Diet Guide 2014 for more information.

### ROAD DIET WITH SEPARATED BIKE LANES

Where high quality bicycling experience is desired, a separated bike lane may be provided.

For more information, refer to the guidance on Separated Bike Lanes athe FHWA Separated Bike Lane Planning and Design Guide 2014.

### MEDIAN AND SEPARATED BIKE LANES

A continuous center median may take up less space than a center turn lane, providing additional room to establish separated bike lanes and landscaping.

For more information, refer to the FHWA Separated Bike Lane Planning and Design Guide 2014.



# Bridge Retrofit





Ferndale, California **POPULATION 1,362** 

Boonville Missouri POPULATION 8, 370

### Centerville, California. **POPULATION 362**

## Bridge Retrofit

The following concepts identify potential design solutions for overcoming barriers at constrained bridge locations.

### **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

Bridges may act as pinch points along an otherwise functional facility. Curb and railing construction may create conditions where neither the sidewalk or shoulder space is adequate for comfortable use.

### MARKINGS, SIGNS, AND BEACONS

Active warning beacons, R4-11 signs and Shared Lane Markings may be used to alert bridge users to the likely presence of bicyclists on the roadway. For increased bicycle comfort, consider reduced or advisory speed limits on the bridge.





### NARROW SIDEWALKS, WIDEN SHOULDERS

Removing narrow sidewalks in favor of widened shoulder space may add flexibility and functionality for more users.



### NARROW SHOULDERS, WIDEN SIDEWALKS

Where additional width is available, extending existing curbs into the shoulder space to create adequate width sidewalks may increase user comfort.



## Bridge Retrofit

### ADVISORY SHOULDERS

Establishing advisory shoulder operations on the bridge may create a bicycle priority space within the same roadway width. Refer to the guidance on Advisory Shoulders.

### ONE LANE BRIDGE

In areas with low motor vehicle volumes, configuring the structure as a one lane bridge can provide an exclusive separated space for pedestrians and bicyclists. Refer to the FHWA MUTCD section 2C.21.

### ON DECK SIDEPATH

It may be possible to reduce lane width and align travel lanes to create a separated path on one side of the bridge deck.

Refer to the AASHTO Bike Guide 2012 Section 4.12.3.

### CANTILEVERED SIDEPATH

Where on-deck retrofits are impractical, it may be possible to cantilever a path on one or both sides of the bridge structure.

Refer to the AASHTO Bike Guide 2012 Section 4.12.3.



# THE GUIDE **NEXT STEPS**

- » Consolidate feedback from partners, technical advisors, and FHWA bureaus
- » Updated Draft: Late November and concurrence check with FHWA
- » Released: Early 2017



# THANK YOU!

